Joint Staff J-7

Worldwide Joint Training and Scheduling Conference 2006-1

WJTC 02-04
Dueouts & Deliverables

30 March 2006

DRAFT

CAPT Jeffrey Miller, USN
Chief, Joint Training Division

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J3

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-19 (BOGrp 3)</u>: COCOM's lack understanding of JS J3 role as Global Force Manager & JFCOM's role as Primary Joint Force Provider and impact of this mission on COCOMs.

<u>Discussion</u>: JFCOM was recently assigned mission as Primary Joint Force Provider as part of Global Force Management. JFCOM will make recommendations to JS on global sourcing. CJCS is the Global Force Manager and will make all decisions on force providing. JFCOM is still developing the procedures and resources required to execute this mission. COCOM's require education and applicable training on procedures associated with GFM, particularly as it might impact COCOM-assigned forces.

<u>Impact</u>: COCOM's unclear on their role in GFM

<u>Submitted By</u>: EUCOM, TRANSCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: COCOM's fully educated on role in GFM mission

<u>Status</u>: Per 4 May 05 SecDef Memorandum, CDRJFCOM is designated as the primary Joint Force Provider; JFCOM is responsible for identifying and recommending sourcing solutions from all forces (except forces designated by CDRSOCOM, CDRTRANSCOM and CDRSTRATCOM) to the CJCS. All sourcing solutions will be coordinated with the Military Departments and other CoComs.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J3; OCR: JFCOM J3

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J7

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-01 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Resource COCOMs to plan and execute their joint training programs.

<u>Discussion:</u> Align resources with statutory joint training responsibilities. Operational, C2, Theater, and Training Transformation change training effort and costs. COCOMs are not staffed to fully implement the Joint Training System nor to adequately participate in the transformation processes. Training Program Assessment and Lessons Learned Programs are under resourced. Resources are needed to enable interagency and other non-DoD participation in joint training and to exploit JNTC. Components cannot accurately program JFHQ training funding.

<u>Impact:</u> Critical joint training may not be accomplished to standard. JFHQ (COCOM JFHQ, JTF HQ, Functional Component HQ) and joint forces are less prepared for integrated global operations. T2 and C2 Transformation cannot be properly executed.

<u>Submitted By</u>: HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler

<u>Endstate:</u> COCOMs have access to adequate resources to plan and execute their joint training programs, including programming funding for JFHQ training funding. COCOM training funding is competed outside of Executive Agent POM and fenced within Executive Agent budget.

<u>Status:</u> 1) COCOMs have identified funding requirements; 2) JS/J-7 worked this issue under the TC AoA Alt 5 process in concert with OSD and JFCOM; Result: Funding will be provided to provide distributed manpower support to COCOM training program in FY06.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCR: OSD (R&T)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to Monitor

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-02 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Independently developed automated support tools need management and oversight to ensure they are complementary and will collectively meet DoD requirements.

<u>Discussion:</u> Automated support tools (JTIMS, JDRAT,…) are JMETL/AMETL based, yet current configurations use different task terminology and methodologies for addressing UJTL deviations. JS J7 developing an effective approach to include automated procedures for UJTL updates. Policy needed on information and intelligence data sharing across military and civilian organizations (TIM2 community).

<u>Impact:</u> Training and readiness community needs an integrated suite of automated support tools that support collaborative planning and effective knowledge management. Integrated tool suite must use consistent terminology and system interfaces should provide data exchange that support single data entry for use in multiple applications. T2 objectives will be difficult to meet if these issues are not addressed as JTIMS, ESORTS, and UJTL development efforts continue.

<u>Submitted By:</u> USSTRATCOM / OP70, MAJ Coughlin.

<u>Endstate:</u> Automated support tools that are independently developed to meet specific requirements will have coordinated management and oversight to ensure these tools will collectively meet the T2 objectives and training / readiness requirements.

<u>Status:</u> NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL 2 is integrated with DRRS to dynamically pull the COCOM/CSA J/AMETLs. JDEIS is the authoritative source for the UJTL, Service Task Lists, and Joint Capabilities. A future NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL will provide the capability to dynamically send the Training Proficiency Assessments (TPAs) generated in NexGen JTIMS to the training tab of DRRS.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J7; OCRs: OSD P&R, Joint Staff J8, JFCOM, USPACOM

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor as JTIMS spiral developments are fielded

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-05 (BOGrp 1):</u> Accelerate the development of doctrine and TTP to support emergent capabilities used in real-world operations.

<u>Discussion:</u> Per Joint Training Policy, joint training is to be conducted to agreed joint doctrine. Current rapid transformations are establishing new constructs, e.g., SJFHQ, JIACG, Intergovernmental Operations, Homeland Defense, etc., with no doctrine and minimal TTP, inhibiting effective training,

<u>Impact:</u> Training events are transformed into experimentation events that inform the concept development but do little to improve training audience readiness.

<u>Submitted by:</u> HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler.

<u>Endstate:</u> JS and JFCOM have implemented rapid doctrine and TTP development and approval processes that provide and update useable interim doctrine and TTP for emergent transformational concepts as baseline for operational employment and associated training.

<u>Status</u>: JEDD has implemented a new change process for expediting doctrine and TTP development approval processes. A considerable amount of doctrine, however, is being held up due to lack of policy or changing policy at the OSD level. New policy described in CJCSI 5120.02, 30 Nov 04. See Enclosure C, paragraph 8.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCR: JFCOM JWFC, OSD, Services

<u>CLOSED</u>

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-08 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Define the entry requirements for each T2 enabling capability; make the definition a part of new enablers as they are developed.

<u>Discussion</u>: Active joint customer (COCOM, CSA) participation in the processes of enabling capabilities (JNTC, JKDDC, JAEC, TIM2, TC AoA, JTFCB, etc.) requires easy portal access to working group process. Entry requirements need to be clearly described by T2 capability lead and understood by Joint customer in order to enable effective joint training. Communication among OSD, JS and COCOMs\CSAs does not adequately inform the COCOMs\CSAs of process schedules associated with building and maintaining critical enablers. In addition, where COCOMs\CSAs do have visibility, they are not adequately resourced to insert informed input into each of the processes.

<u>Impact</u>: T2 implementation is sub-optimized by inadequate input from the user.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USTRANSCOM, Major Jim Stanford

<u>Endstate</u>: T2 enablers can be accessed through straight forward presentation of joint customer need to the T2 capability leadership. T2 enablers have clearly described 'on/off ramps' for joint customers to easily access T2 benefits in development of their joint training programs. T2 FOC is fully optimized

<u>Status</u>: The Training Transformation Forum provides easy portal access to all T2 enabling capabilities through one website http://www.t2net.org/forums/index.cfm. The T2 calendar, meeting data, roster, briefs and publications. This portal also provides links to all three T2 capabilities and the TIM2 task force. For access contact Lori Frumkin, lfrumkin@alionscience.com, (703) 998-1651.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCRs: OSD R&T, OSD Policy, COCOMs, CSAs, Services, JFCOM

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-17 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Integration of lessons learned into training.

<u>Discussion</u>: Joint & Service Lessons Learned currently captured by a number of independent groups, agencies & activities into a variety of non-linked databases. Joint Trainers require timely access to Lessons Learned analysis, specifically tailored to support the requirements development process/JTS made available through a single access point. COCOM's understaffed for Lessons Learned support in planning for training; not staffed for active collection.

<u>Impact</u>: Lessons Learned not incorporated into training objective development and event planning.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOM's

<u>Endstate</u>: Easy access to all lessons learned by entire training support community.

<u>Status</u>: The Joint Staff is conducting technical evaluations on the two systems scoring the highest ratings from the Best of Breed Survey (LMS and ALMS). Milestone: Technical Evaluations to be completed by 10 March 06. JFCOM, JCOA, designed, developed and conducted initial testing of the Central Repository Proof of Principle with members of the JLLP COP.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J7; <u>OCR</u>: JFCOM JCOA

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-14 (BOGrp 2)</u>: Need to standardize collaboration tools (IWS vs DCTS).

<u>Issue</u>: JS using one collaboration tool and COCOMs using a different tool that are not interoperable.

<u>Impact</u>: Collaboration required for T2 cannot be effectively executed with tools that are not interoperable.

<u>Endstate</u>: Interoperable training collaboration tools.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOMs/CSAs

<u>Status</u>: OSD authorized the use of IWS and DCTS until a new long-term collaborative tool is selected and fielded. JFCOM staffing document to establish common set of requirements for real-time online collaboration for potential inclusion in Network Centric Enterprise Services (NCES) Capability Development Document (CDD).

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7 JTPB, LTC Sullins; OCR : OSD

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04 TRANSCOM 01</u>: JEP Support Funding Methodology.

<u>Issue</u>: COCOM (Joint Staff, USTRANSCOM, USSTRATCOM, USSOCOM, USJFCOM) support is essential to all Regional CoCom CPX exercises.

<u>Impact</u>: Current funding methodology fails to recognize functional COCOM costs in support of CPX events.

<u>Endstate</u>: A funded CPX package consisting of event and support costs.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USTRANSCOM

<u>Status</u>: The issue is the funding of TRANSCOM functional support to the Geographic COCOMs. Currently only SOCOM receives funding (through the Bronze Arrow program) to support the Geographic COCOMs. A change to the Chairman's instruction governing the JEP is required, along with the agreement of the other COCOMs, and OSD. Since JEP funding is limited in FY07 any additional funding to support this effort may not be available until FY08.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, JEXD; OCR : JFCOM, J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04CENTCOM04:</u> The requirement exists for DOD personnel to conduct planning, coordination, and support of interagency operations, yet military personnel are not educated nor trained to accomplish doctrinal tasks requiring interagency coordination.

<u>Discussion:</u> The National Security Strategy requires the development, coordination, and application of the elements and instruments of national power to achieve national security objectives. In order to accomplish this requirement, USCENTCOM must have senior leadership and staff officers that are educated and trained in the coordination and integration of regional interagency activities.

<u>Impact:</u> Without an interagency education and training program, personnel will continue to conduct ad hoc interagency coordination of doctrinal interagency tasks.

<u>Submitted By:</u> LtCol Brad McAllister, JIACG, 651-1960, mcallibg@centcom.mil

<u>Endstate:</u> DOD provides USCENTCOM with senior leadership and staff officers who are educated and trained to accomplish ST 8.5 - Coordinate and Integrate Regional Interagency Activities.

<u>Status</u>: The CJCSI Education Policy (1800.01C) revised, published 22 Dec 05. National Security Strategy remains a primary learning area. Curriculums will continue to undergo updates to reflect the latest information. The OPMEP addresses learning requirements at the Intermediate, Senior level Colleges as well as all GO/FO courses. Individual Training topics related to interagency requirements must be developed and addressed through Joint Training Policy and Guidance. TIM2 has developed a concept paper that lays out a framework of topic modules across the career continuum presented in CJCSI 1800.01C. It suggests the "who, what, and when" for learning; the "how and where" of implementation will be determined later. The modular structure and relative indicated division of time are designed to facilitate use in both short- and longer-term settings, both resident and via Advanced Distance Learning, and for individual training, JPME settings, and for self-development

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, JKDDC & JEDD; OCR : JFCOM, J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04SOUTHCOM04</u>: Reduce/streamline the Developing Countries Combined Exercise Program (DCCEP) process.

<u>Discussion</u>: Combatant Commands submit a two-year DCCEP plan through JS-J7 to OSD annually NLT 31 March via msg (forty to fifty pages). OSD approval is historically received in Aug/Sep timeframe via msg. Approval for Out of Cycle (emerging, mission critical) requirements are submitted through the same lengthy process and many times, approval is not received on time.

<u>Impact</u>: The current process requires extensive man hours for USSOUTHCOM, Service Components and MILGPs. Additionally, it hinders the commanders flexibility and ability to support mission critical, short fused, emerging requirements.

<u>Submitted By</u>: SCJ34, Ms. Karen Castleton, 305-437-3588,

<u>Endstate</u>: DCCEP procedures are outlined in Title 10, US Code, Section 2010. DCCEP funds must be approved by the SecDef in accordance with federal law. The funds are allocated from the COCOM executive agents. DCCEP and DCCEP Out-of-Cycle request procedures are managed by OSD and are as streamlined as federal (Title 10) law allows.

<u>Status</u>: Open, requires JEXD update

<u>OPR</u>: JS, J7, JEXD; OCR: JFCOM J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-12 (BOGrp 2)</u>: Joint Education and Training Currency.

<u>Issue</u>: No process for maintaining currency of joint education and training

<u>Impact</u>: Today's staff officer faces an unprecedented rate of change across the political-military environment. Education and training programs must dynamically update to maintain currency and relevance. The integration of these processes and systems is ad hoc…leaving individual joint staff officer preparation trailing the needs of the field.

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JS, J7 meet with educators and trainers to develop a process to rapidly update joint education and training courses.

<u>Submitted By</u>: BOGrp 2

<u>Endstate</u>: Joint education and training programs systematically update their learning objectives and courses to keep up with the rapidly changing pol-mil environment.

<u>Status</u>: The Joint Faculty Education Conference (JFEC) was conducted 21-23 July 05 and developed 10 FY05 Special Areas of [JPME] Emphasis . A supplementary conference was held 7 Sep 05 at the AWC for information briefing exposure. Military Education Coordination Council recommended and the Chairman approved seven FY06 Special Areas of Emphasis. JFEC 06 is scheduled for July 06. J-7 JTD, working with J-7 JEDD, is conducting a high level assessment of some existing critical joint billets to identify required tasks and correlating available training and education programs that prepare personnel to serve in the joint billets. The JKDDC JMO will conduct the task analysis, identify specific available training and education programs, and identify gaps.

<u>OPRs</u>: Joint Staff J7, JKDDC, JEDD; OCR : JFCOM

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT01</u>: Joint Training System (JTS) Familiarization.

<u>Issue</u>: The joint community does not fully understand the capability and potential of the Joint Training System (JTS) and Joint Training Information and Management System (JTIMS) to enhance warfighting proficiency. JTS and JTIMS familiarization and orientation are not embedded in the Professional Military Education (PME) Continuum.

<u>Impact</u>: JTS cannot be fully implemented if not fully understood.

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: Joint community fully understands JTS and JTIMS.

<u>Status</u>: JKDDC is developing an advanced distance learning course overview on the Joint Training System. A JS J-7/JFCOM J-7 approved course outline/lesson plan exists and all that remains is for JKDDC to build the JTS overview course and field it online. With the transfer of oversight to JFCOM, and the realignment of contract support, a new delivery schedule has not been established.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, Mr. Evans; OCR: JFCOM J7

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT21</u>: Refine the UJTL.

<u>Issue</u>: A high quality UJTL is fundamental to credible JMETL. Geographic commands are concerned that the current UJTL and its revision process falls short of its original intent of simplicity, universality, doctrinal purity, and applicability.

<u>Impact</u>: A growing, diluted UJTL frustrates command JMETL build task selection

<u>Endstate</u>: A simple, universal, doctrinally pure, and applicable UJTL

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JS and JFCOM co-host a UJTL workshop as part of the UJTL 5.0 process attended by appropriate subject matter experts. Include this requirement in UJTL 5.0 requirements document.

<u>Submitted By</u>: WJTC02 Breakout Team-2

<u>Status:</u> UJTL is being automated in JDEIS. UJTL V 5.0 signed and on the JEL. UJTL Working Party is developing way-ahead for UJTL V 6.0.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7 JTPB, LTC Sullins; OCR: JFCOM, COCOMs and CSAs

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

WJTC 03 Issues

03JT16: **Joint Training System Specialists for Combat Support Agencies.**

Issue: While some CSAs have Joint Training System Specialists in place, most do not and have requested such support.

Impact: If available, JTSS provide consistent, highly-trained JTS support that is sensitive to specific CSA requirements/issues.

Endstate: JTSS support for all CSAs.

Plan of Action: OSD provide funding support.

Submitted By: WJTC02 Breakout Team-4

OPR: Joint Staff, J7, JTPB, LTC Sullins

Status: T2 I Plan has provided funding to increase CSA support starting FY06.

CLOSED

DRAFT

WJTC 02 Issues

<u>02JT01</u>: Joint Training Assessment Data Collection and Reporting Tools.

<u>Issue</u>: Current training assessment results are not being briefed/reported to leadership in a usable format. Assessment results may not be formatted/structured in a way that reflects leadership's priorities/concerns/focus. While no universal assessment/ evaluation tools currently exist, tools such as those developed at JFCOM and PACOM may serve as interim workarounds until JTIMS is fully developed. Also, some users expressed strong interest in fully independent tools, which might then link to JTIMS.

<u>Impact:</u> Assessment results may receive limited leadership attention or be misunderstood. Increased flexibility in assessing non-JTIMS based activities. Current processes and outputs may lead to limited leadership attention or be misunderstood. Lack of mature tools increases staff workload and decreases training assessment efficacy.

<u>Submitted By</u>: WJTC02 Breakout Team-2/3

<u>Endstate</u>: Development of a straightforward, relatable, and easily executable training assessment reporting product(s). Selection of an interim assessment/evaluation support tool for joint force use until final JTIMS development and implementation.

<u>Status</u>: NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL 2 provides a standardized online capability to develop training objectives, capture observations, and generate standardized templated reports. SPIRAL 3 will provide offline capabilities for Training Objective Development and Observation Collection and provide initial capabilities for Ad Hoc query and reporting features. Milestone: May 06.

<u>OPR:</u> Joint Staff J7 JTPB: LTC Sullins; OCR: COCOMs

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J8

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

04DCMA03: Readiness reporting and evolving AMETL Development

Discussion: Agency in early JTS stages; how evolving AMETL (unapproved) is reported via DRRS/JQRR. Concern: leadership hesitant to report against an in-progress AMETL. Question: Do we seek right AMETL or report for experience?

Impact: AMETL in flux, not approved by leadership, and just getting integrated within the agency; reporting places leadership/OPRs in uncertain position and provides other-than AMETL based report (functional?)

Submitted By: John Parker, DCMA Combat Support Center, DSN 325-1836, john.h.parker@dcma.mil

Endstate: DRRS requires AMETL based readiness, agency leadership/OPRs currently working through AMETL development

Status: Director, DCMA has approved an agency METL which forms the basis of joint training and joint readiness reporting. AMETLs are now developed in DRRS and exported into NexGen JTIMS.

OPR: JS, J8

CLOSED

DRAFT

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JFCOM

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-03 (BOGrp 1):</u> JNTC LVC capability to support training of new and evolving missions.

<u>Discussion:</u> The JNTC must rapidly provide the LVC capability to meet the training requirements associated with new, evolving, or previously unsupported mission essential tasks. Examples are USSTRATCOM strategic national-level JMETS (e.g., Global Strike, Global ISR, Information Operations, Global Ballistic Missile Defense) and NORAD USNORTHCOM homeland defense and military assistance to civil authorities missions.

<u>Impact:</u> Decreased efficiency and quality of training as a result of under-utilization of JNTC for these missions

<u>Submitted By:</u> NORAD-USNORTHCOM (Mr Koster, J73), USSTRATCOM (Maj Coughlin, OP70).

<u>Endstate</u>: JNTC rapidly responds to and fully supports new LVC requirements

<u>Status:</u> JWFC implemented a requirements identification and prioritization process involving COCOM representation and internally reorganized to provide more focused management of LVC capabilities development to meet priority training requirements. New capabilities were fielded in four of the top ten requirements areas identified by combatant command consensus inputs to the Joint Training Review Group with development planned or ongoing in the remaining six areas.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM JWFC; OCRs: COCOMs, CSAs

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-04 (BOGrp 1):</u> Enable COCOMs and Service Components to employ JNTC for training with minimal or no JWFC direct support.

<u>Discussion:</u> JNTC should be employed for COCOM and Component sponsored training in addition to scheduled JNTC events and those events that JWFC can support. To accomplish this, the JNTC technical solutions must not require JWFC support; sufficient theater expertise must be developed in each COCOM to employ JNTC to design, build and execute training; and both COCOMs and Components must be able to program funding to pay for the costs associated with use of JNTC.

<u>Impact:</u> Training not supported by JNTC infrastructure and training enablers may be sub-optimized and not have requisite joint context while JNTC investment is under-utilized.

<u>Submitted by:</u> HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler.

<u>Endstate:</u> COCOMs and Service Components have established the expertise, resources, and procedures to routinely employ JNTC to enhance joint training and provide requisite joint context for service training.

<u>Status:</u> The JNTC program has enabled decentralized execution of training through the Program Centric approach; fielding of the JNTC Support Element, their coordination with JWFC Desk Officers for additional training support, and validation of training requirements through the accreditation process. JNTC Certification is standardizing technical access and support. The JWFC has established a network operations center to support the Joint Training and Experimentation Network (JTEN) and the network is available to support Service and COCOM Joint training events with minimal JWFC involvement.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM JWFC; OCRs: COCOMs / Services

CLOSED

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04CENTCOM02:</u> Need baseline JMETL standards for COCOMs & Service Component Commands.

<u>Discussion:</u> COCOMs & Service Components are required to follow the processes of the JTS, CRS, & DRRS. Each process has a reporting requirement, i.e. training/readiness assessments, JQRR, & ESORTS. JMETL is the common reporting theme. Among commands should exist commonality in standards in terms of DOTMLPF. COCOMs & Service Components are developing or have developed standards for their JMETs. These standards are radically different among commands. Propose Joint Staff identify the commonality, among commands, in standards in terms of DOTMLPF & create baseline JMET standards to report against.

<u>Impact:</u> If not done, training/readiness assessments, JQRR, & ESORTS could display data for CJCS & SECDEF that is not comparable, not complementary, & disjointed.

<u>Submitted By:</u> Mr. Greg Ginter, CCJ3-E, DSN 651-4079, ginterge@centcom.mil.

<u>Status:</u> IAW current draft Joint Training Policy & Guidance JFCOM will be tasked to develop baseline tasks, conditions and standards for JTF/FCC HQ. This is also concurrent with the task referenced in DODD 1322.18 and UCP guidance. Based on current JTF HQ JMETLs in DRRS and the 66 tasks in the JTF HQ MTG, JFCOM developed an initial draft baseline set of tasks for review by the JS and COCOMs. Recent guidance from the JS is to collaborate with the COCOMs on the issue at the WJTSC 06-1.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM ; OCR JS J7, COCOMs

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-16 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Increased JFCOM support to training.

<u>Discussion:</u> JFCOM provides operational train-up & exercise support to COCOMs. Historically, this support has been provided to two major COCOM events per FY. COCOM's typically require JFCOM support for more than "2 per year" but must compete with other COCOM's and JFCOM Components for support. COCOM's are not resourced to provide internal assets for operational train-up & exercise support & are forced to do so "out of hide" to ensure events are executed successfully. Current JFCOM resources cannot satisfy COCOM requirements. Increases required for Observer/Trainers, contractor support and deployable M&S equipment. Initiatives such as Pacific Warfighting Center, EUCOM use of Warrior Prep Center and JNTC network decrease reliance on JFCOM assets. Additional Observer/Trainers and contractor support required to meet COCOM requirements.

<u>Impact</u>: COCOM operational train-up events & major exercises are not as efficient or effective & would benefit from JWFC support. Resources taken "out of hide" to execute events are a training distractor.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOM's

<u>Endstate</u>: JFCOM support to appropriate number of COCOM training events (all forms of training)

<u>Status</u>: JFCOM provides Joint operational training to Combatant Commanders. This support has historically been limited to two supported exercises per fiscal year. Component Command's typically request more support from the JWFC than the two supported. Current USJFCOM resources cannot satisfy increased demand for observer/trainers, contractor support, and deployable models & simulations equipment.

- JWFC submitted a Joint Force Trainer Requirement during the FY07-11 IPL requesting an increase in military observer trainers and additional funding to increase the number of contractors available to support Joint Training requirements.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM, J7; OCR JS J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-21 (BOGrp 3)</u>: JFCOM COCOM Coordination visits must be scheduled at COS level.

<u>Discussion</u>: JFCOM is coordinating with COCOM's for command-wide team to visit each COCOM to discuss required support from JFCOM. Intent is to minimize the multiple visits from across JFCOM. First visit to STRATCOM recently completed. JFCOM currently coordinating future visits. These visits must be coordinated at the COS level in order to get the attention required. Agenda needs to be tailored to COCOM's needs. Follow-on documentation of visit results by JFCOM and provided to COCOM also required.

<u>Impact</u>: Outcomes from visits should influence WJTC agenda

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: COCOM visits coordinated

<u>Status</u>: JFCOM Coordination visits are now managed by the JFCOM COS office and the JFCOM J5. Quarterly VTC's are scheduled by the COS office for each COCOM at the COS level. Agenda includes discussing JFCOM's support for the COCOM's top three priorities.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM J7; OCR: COCOMs

<u>CLOSED</u>

DRAFT

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-22 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Lack of common DoD-wide process for incorporation of testing and experimentation in joint training

<u>Discussion</u>: OSD Training & Testing Steering Group is seeking to embed test activity in training to test with appropriate joint context as tasked within SPG 06. JFCOM, as the joint force integrator and experimenter, also requires the training environment to assess capabilities such as DJC2, Blue Force Tracking and SJFHQ. The need for joint context and resource limitations require some test and prototyping activity in training. JFCOM has developed a manual that outlines the process the test and experimentation community will use for integrating into JFCOM sponsored and supported training. JFCOM could serve as "one stop shop" for DOT&E and experimentation community for integration into training.

<u>Impact</u>: DOT&E forced to engage multiple COCOM's to search for venues. Testing & experimentation short-notice insertions are disruptive to COCOM exercise planning.

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: Common policy for incorporating test/experimentation activity in training

<u>Status</u>: The JFCOM JETA Integration Manual was signed in June 05. Discussions are ongoing concerning the need to develop a process to incorporate DOD wide testing and experimentation. The OPR for this initiative is JS J7.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM J7; OCR: OSD (DOT&E, AT&L, OFT, P&R), JS J7, COCOM's, CSA's, Services

<u>Recommendation:</u> Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT-02</u>: Web-Database of Certified Joint Training Courses.

<u>Issue</u>: No catalog of certified joint training courses is readily available. A web-based database of certified joint training courses to include distance learning located at JFCOM is needed.

<u>Impact</u>: The Joint Community is unaware of available joint courses.

<u>Endstate</u>: A comprehensive, web-based database of certified joint training courses and distance learning courses is readily available to the joint community.

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JFCOM will continue to maintain the Joint Course Database in accordance with the Joint Training Manual.

<u>Submitted By</u>: NORAD

<u>Status</u>: On the Issue 03JT02 Web-Database of Certified Joint Training Courses, there is a joint course database at the below website.

https://webapps.jwfc.jfcom.mil/Courseware/course_search_list_frame.html?mode=view

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM J7; OCR: Joint Staff J7, JTD

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

DRAFT

WJTC 06-1 Issues

30 March 2006

DRAFT

Joint Training

Issue 06CENTCOM-01: COCOM / Component / JTF Staff Training

Discussion: Need for basic joint training, to include Interagency Operations for personnel assigned to Joint staffs

- JPME I/II
- Interim use of Web Based Training (JTF 101)
- Resource specific training AO course (indoc) and job-specific training

Impact: A majority of permanent and individual augmentee personnel are unprepared upon arrival to assume the duties to which they have been assigned.

Submitted By: USCENTCOM

End state: Comprehensive Joint Individual Learning Continuum meets joint training requirements for all individuals assigned to Joint billets prior to joint duty assignment through combination of education, training and self-development.

POA&M: Aggressive development and distribution of web-based courseware followed by USCENTCOM specific instruction provided by nascent Training Branch.

OPR/OCR: JS/J-7 and JFCOM

DRAFT

Lack of Interagency PME

Issue 06CENTCOM-02: Personnel being assigned to USCENTCOM are not formally educated nor trained to accomplish doctrinal interagency coordination tasks.

Discussion: The NMSP WOT requires personnel to plan, coordinate and implement interagency operations. Need to develop and implement interagency operations training to accomplish JMET "Coordinate and integrate military operations with regional interagency activities."

Impact: Without an integrated DOD wide interagency education and training program, personnel will continue to conduct ad hoc interagency coordination of doctrinal interagency tasks.

Submitted By: USCENTCOM

End state: An integrated DoD-wide interagency education and training program supports COCOM interagency requirements.

POA&M: Include interagency operations training in Service and Joint schooling to provide Commands with senior leadership and staff officers educated and trained in the accomplishment of interagency coordination.

OPR/OCR: JS/J-7

DRAFT

Joint Doctrine Development

<u>Issue 06PACOM-02</u>: Joint Doctrine Development System (JDDS) requires combatant command participation.

<u>Discussion</u>: Travel requirement to participate in working groups >100 days/yr. No funding or government billet for a full time doctrine position. JS J-7 proposed a Joint DOTMLPF Change Recommendation (DCR) for Doctrine Development in Oct 2005, which would have included funding for Joint Doctrine Specialists, but it was withdrawn in Feb 2006.

<u>Impact</u>: USPACOM is unable to fully support the Joint Doctrine Development process.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USPACOM

<u>End state</u>: Joint Doctrine Specialists funded and fielded to COCOMs.

<u>POA&M</u>: USPACOM supports JS J-7 efforts to fund a Joint Doctrine Specialist at the COCOM level.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS/J-7

DRAFT

Directed Training Requirements

Issue 06COCOM-01 : Resourcing Expanded Joint Training Events

Discussion:

• New exercise requirements dictated from above COCOM level, i.e.Homeland Defense; Proliferation Security Initiative; Pandemic Influenza; Global Peace Operations Initiative, etc. The task, either stated or implied, is to create new exercise venues (in reaction to new real world events) for each of these requirements.

• Unified Command Plan directs combatant commanders to certify HQ staffs designated to serve as JTF staffs and functional components. Increased costs include: diversion of one contractor man-year (minimum) for program development/maintenance, $650K in additional funding for SJFHQ-Pacific as the JTF trainer and a third exercise support / DTT package from JFCOM/JWFC

Impact: 1) Increases challenge for Combatant Commands unable to comply with Sec Def guidance to reduce PERSTEMPO due to exercises. 2) Watered down exercise program with diminishing usefulness based on more exercises with lower budget. 3) Without additional resources, a "make-do" implementation will detract from the level of JTF training and operational readiness.

Submitted By: USPACOM and USEUCOM

End state:

POA&M:

• CDRUSPACOM has directed that these new requirements be addressed through existing USPACOM, Service, or other nations' exercises as appropriate.

• Joint Staff/J-7 must help to "hold the line" on exercise program growth. Funding be provided to assist exercise Executive Agents incorporate emerging exercise requirements and implementing JTF Certification Program.

OPR/OCR: JS J-7/OSD(P&R)

DRAFT

Program Sustainment Fundin

<u>Issue 06EUCOM-02</u>: New programs are implemented without adequate funding added for sustainment.

<u>Discussion</u>: New capabilities, innovations, and technologies are emerging (Rel GBR/AUS, JNTC, JLLIS, DRRS). While these are welcome and useful, funding is only provided for their initial development and fielding for the technologies. COCOMs are left on their own to fund and man sustainment of these new mandated technologies.

<u>Impact</u>: Decreased funding available for other programs and decreased availability of manning to perform the tasks required for the technologies.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USEUCOM

<u>End state</u>: Drive-by fieldings eliminated, all new programs fielded only with identified and funded sustainment throughout their life cycle.

<u>POA&M</u>: Request development and implementation of a sustainment roadmap for support of current and new technologies throughout their life cycle.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS J-7/OSD(P&R)

DRAFT

JEP O & M Funding Shortfall

Issue 06COCOM-02 : Chairman's "Strategy Based - Requirements Driven" approach to executing the JEP attempts to provide ample Strategic Lift funding but O&M funding remains insufficient and has a definite impact on the success of exercises and training.

Discussion:

FY	POM	Initial Dist.	Incremental Funds	Shortfall
•06	8.046M	4.25M	0	2.1M*
•07	8.207M	4.4M	0	1.8M*

*PACOM Shortfalls remaining after restructure/reorg. of JEP, dramatic reduction of sim. capability, and reduction of capability to support component and HQ participation in exercise planning process.

Impact: Unable to meet Theater Security Cooperation Guidance and conduct fully integrated Joint and Combined training. Important exercises scaled down. Reduced exercise planning support. Training Objectives not met. Average cost for an event has increased nearly half a million dollars.

Submitted By: USPACOM and USEUCOM

End state: O&M funding fully supports COCOM exercise requirements.

POA&M: Supplement Geographic Combatant Commands' O&M funding specifically focused on exercises during this fiscal year and provide for future requirements.

OPR/OCR: JS J7/OSD(P&R)

DRAFT

Interagency Integration Fundi

Issue 06SOUTHCOM-01: Interagency Integration Funding. If COCOMs are to be effective in improving and expanding participation of these organizations in their training and exercise programs, it is essential to provide funding assistance to these organizations similar to that which is provided to developing countries under DCCEP.

Discussion: DOD Directive 3000.05, DOD Support to Stability, Security, Transition and Reconstruction Operations requires COCOMs to "engage relevant U.S. Departments and Agencies, foreign governments and security forces, International Organizations, NGOs, and members of the private sector in stability operations planning, training, and exercises."

Impact: Participation by these organizations is often restricted by SOUTHCOM's and the organization's resource capacity.

Submitted By: USSOUTHCOM

End state: Funding designated to support interagency participation in COCOM training/exercise venues.

POA&M: Expand the current DCCEP to permit its use for travel and per diem expenses of members of these organizations to participate in CJCS or Joint Exercise Program exercises and planning conferences conducted by the COCOMs. Authorize use of TCA funds for this purpose and provide for increased levels of TCA funding for this purpose. DOD establish an additional COCOM exercise funding support capacity specifically designed to support integration of these organizations in exercises and associated planning conferences.

OPR/OCR: JS J7

DRAFT

IA Simulation Response Capabili

Issue 06NORADNORTHCOM-02: Interagency Response/Simulation Capability

Discussion: Limited Interagency (Federal, State and local) exercise participation adversely affects exercise design and ability to meet exercise objectives resulting in difficulty in gaining Federal Interagency and State involvement. When participating, Federal, State and local play is typically limited to 1-3 days.

Impact: Results in abbreviated exercise and unmet exercise objectives and hinders ability to deploy and fully exercise JTF and JFO.

Submitted By: NORAD/NORTHCOM

End state: Develop and field Interagency Response/Simulation capability to support joint training and exercises.

POA&M: Standardize and use Federal Response Simulation Cell, State Simulation Cell and/or Simulated JFO for exercises.

OPR/OCR: JS J7/JFCOM

DRAFT

TC AoA Alternative 5

<u>Issue 06COCOM-03</u>: Propose establishment of COCOM Organic Training and Exercise Capability based on Training Capability Analysis of Alternatives, Alternative 5.

<u>Discussion</u>:

• COCOMs identified requirement to source an organic training and exercise capability in order to: Conduct and manage COCOM specific training (Tailorable required and on-demand COCOM, SJFHQ, JTF and FCC HQ staff individual and collective training); Identify COCOM individual/collective training requirements; and Track and manage individual/staff joint training and readiness.

• No additional support via TC AoA Alt 5 provided to the COCOMs to date. COCOM Council of Colonels (COC) unanimous on TC AoA Alt 5 to provide additional contracted support (2-3 individuals) to each COCOM, except JFCOM. JS J7 would fund and provide oversight of additional support to the COCOMs to meet its joint training requirements. COA was briefed subsequently at the JFCOM sponsored Two Star COCOM Training Conference (Feb 05) and there were no objections. COC unanimous again, COA briefed and approved by T2 SAG in Oct 05.

• JKDDC products are not addressing the requirement. Centralized development of COCOM specific modules at JFCOM cannot adequately capture unique requirement. Long-identified need for a formal individual training program. JKDDC should address job-specific individual AO training as well as "joint education."

<u>Impact</u>: COCOMs lack the additional support to adequately meet its joint training requirements. Insufficient ability to train COCOM individuals / staffs and JTF and functional component HQ staff

<u>Submitted By</u>: NORAD/NORTHCOM, PACOM, SOUTHCOM, STRATCOM, JS J7/JTD

<u>End state</u>: COCOMs fully resourced to support individual and staff joint training requirements.

<u>POA&M</u>: JS J7 use TC AoA Alt 5 to support the COCOMs' requirements.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS J-7/OSD(P&R), JFCOM

DRAFT

Synchronization of NEP

Issue 06NORADNORTHCOM-01: Synchronization of National Exercises between DoD and interagency partner.

Discussion: Current interagency participation as achievable, department to department. There is no required participation stipulation. (1) Current National Exercise definition in NEP too broad (2) Scope of exercises. Complexity, participation, and length (hours/days) is currently restricted by resource availability and, as such, reality is sacrificed (3) Lack of predictability and stability. Dates for exercises (already within next two years) can be changed unilaterally making advance planning for resources/forces very problematic(4) Emphasize building block approach.

Impact:

Submitted By: NORAD/NORTHCOM

End state:

POA&M:(1) Recommend that HSC lead on designation of "National Exercises" when full federal participation is expected (2) Re-categorize National Exercise Program into five categories (3) Expand the scope (duration) to permit achievement of exercise objectives (4) Develop a risk-based exercise plan, challenge participant at all levels.

OPR/OCR: JS J7

DRAFT

Multiple Learning Mgt System

Issue 06NORADNORTHCOM-04: The use of multiple Learning Management Systems

Discussion: No Joint Standard(s) exists for the identification of requirements dealing with data needed for a consistent, interoperable tracking of learner profiles, competencies or training records. It will take time to develop the solution to this Joint problem needed for a coherent Global Knowledge Distribution environment. Currently, multiple LMSs are being used across the Joint Community. Each of these LMSs have different requirements dealing with learner profiles, competency tracking and training record management.

Impact: The use of multiple LMSs inhibits the ability to integrate training and personnel records and adds unnecessary complexity to integration with JTS and DRRS.

Submitted By: NORAD/NORTHCOM

End state: Universal Joint Learning Management System.

POA&M: Make JKDDC funds available to support a single use of Universal Joint LMS.

- Funds to manage a multi-COCOM LMS using the N-NC Commercial-Off-The-Shelf LMS as test-bed.
- Develop standards to address ontology, taxonomy, metadata, personnel tracking, security methods, interoperable learner profiles, and competency and training records for Joint community.

•OPR/OCR: JFCOM/JS J7

DRAFT

Insufficient Resources

<u>Issue 06NORADNORTHCOM-05</u>: Immediate assistance in obtaining sufficient web-based resources to meet COCOM joint mission training requirements

<u>Discussion</u>: "The e-learning content produced by JKDDC, to this point, has been conventional web-based instructional courseware. The Service knowledge portals have produced lots of content that are labeled as 'knowledge objects,' but the content is not yet systematically packaged to allow for reuse." Some COCOMs have Joint ADL programs that are largely unfunded.

<u>Impact</u>: COCOM Staffs are not fully trained to meet their joint mission requirements.

<u>Submitted By</u>: NORAD/NORTHCOM

<u>End state</u>: A timely, collaborative process that ensures JKDDC courseware development supports COCOM joint mission training requirements.

<u>POA&M</u>: Recommend joint training shortfalls be identified as urgent readiness need. T2 funds for JKDDC be increased by $10M per year to address COCOM joint knowledge development and distribution requirements. Move from Industrial age production of courseware to much more rapid techniques involving real world mission planning, analysis and rehearsal. Focus on rapid development of a common set of joint tools, standards and specifications to provide immediate support to joint war fighter needs.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JFCOM/JS J7

DRAFT

Joint Instructor Accreditation

<u>Issue 06DTRA-01</u>: Joint Accreditation of Individual Training Courses and Instructors Joint Accreditation criteria needs to be reviewed / Process needs to be more visible / No process in place for instructors.

<u>Discussion</u>: JFWC designated office accredits joint courses. No one is assigned instructor certification.

<u>Impact</u>: Joint Accreditation designation will lose significance in the joint training arena. Organizations create own instructor certification programs. Standards?

<u>Submitted By</u>: DTRA

<u>End state</u>: Joint instructor certification program

<u>POA&M</u>: DTRA support to accredit Joint CBRNE courses. Use DTRA CBRNE SME's to review course content. For In-Resident Courses and Distance Learning Courses. DTRA support to prototype joint instructor certification program.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JFCOM

DRAFT

Quarterly Allocation of CTP Fund

Issue 06USA-01: Quarterly allocations of CTP funds are not being made

Discussion: CJCSI 3511.01, CJCS Exercise Program Funding, states the Joint Staff will issue the Services quarterly allocation of CTP funds.

Impact: Units forced to use their own funds and then go through the reimbursement process. It often takes 2-3 months to get bills from the travel office to start the reimbursement process. Meantime, the Joint Staff Comptroller is requesting funds be returned for not being obligated in a timely manner. MIPR restricting use of CTP funds to a specific exercise, limits flexibility. If you get the money to units up front they can obligate when the CTP comes in, precluding time-consuming reimbursement process.

Submitted By: US Army

End state: CTP funds provided to units IAW established policy.

POA&M: Comply with established policy and issue CTP funds to the Services on a quarterly basis. Units can then obligate CTP funds when initially purchasing the tickets.

OPR/OCR: JS J7

DRAFT

Air Component Exercise Availabili

Issue 06USAF-01: Support desired by COCOMs exceeds air component funding and personnel availability.

Discussion: Air component uses the COCOMs priority list as basis for starting pint. Each exercise is analyzed for value and then they refine the priority list. Not all exercises can be supported.

Impact: Not all COCOM exercises can be supported by Air Component. High cost of some exercises impacts Air Force play.

Submitted By: USAF

End state:

POA&M: Mitigate by utilizing LVC capabilities and providing updated priorities to Air component. Recommend OSD develop credible long-range exercise program to regain Service and congressional confidence. Engage OSD to reinstate funding cut in FY 06/07. Continue synchronization, linking exercises to reduce number.

OPR/OCR: OSD(P&R)

DRAFT

Joint Task Articles

<u>Issue 06JFCOM-04</u>: Joint Task Articles (JTA); deletion of JTA reference from draft Joint Training Policy and Guidance (JTP&G). Deletion from the JTP&G desynchronizes that document from the T2 IPLAN issued by OSD.

<u>Discussion</u>: Following direction removed from JTP&G: "Conduct Joint Task Article and Expanded Joint Task Article (JTA/EJTA) analysis" with the intent "to conduct qualitative analysis of the existing universal joint tasks to establish required conditions, critical elements, and measures with common sense "yes/no" criteria in order to conduct joint training…"

<u>Impact</u>: Deletion from the JTP&G desynchronizes that document from the T2 IPLAN issued by OSD. Causes confusion in the joint training community regarding the universal acceptance of JTAs in policy.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USJFCOM

<u>End state</u>: COCOMs concur with reinsertion of JTA language in JTP&G.

<u>POA&M</u>: (Current/Planned): Reinsertion of JTA clauses in JTP&G; resynchronization of T2 IPLAN and JTP&G.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS J7/JFCOM

DRAFT

Joint Training Trends and Assessment

<u>Issue 06JFCOM-06:</u> Consensus has not been achieved in the joint training community regarding how joint training trends and joint training assessment taskers will be achieved.

<u>Discussion</u>:. The Joint Training Policy and Guidance (CJCSI 3500.01C) states "USJFCOM is responsible for: Annually assessing the effectiveness of joint training, to include describing emerging training trends in the joint community. Brief the training trends at the September WJTSC-2 and report the results of the training assessment to the Chairman" and "Combatant Commands/CSAs will: Annually provide USJFCOM with their joint training trends by 30 June for integration into USJFCOM's annual training trends brief presented at the September WJTSC-2."

<u>Impact</u>: Lack of ability to identify common joint training deficiencies or issues that adversely impacting joint force readiness.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USJFCOM

<u>End state</u>:

<u>POA&M</u>: Acceptance of a proposed way ahead that enables the determination of relevant joint training trends and joint training assessment

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JFCOM/JS J-7

DRAFT

Joint Training Requirements

<u>Issue 06JS-01</u>: Joint Training Requirements Action Plan.

<u>Discussion</u>: Multiple joint training requirements generation processes, TC AoA, JTRG, JQRR, JTRAT, COCOM IPLs, JNTC. Proposed action plan briefed to JT FCB Working Group 13 March 2006

<u>Impact</u>: Validate prioritize all identified joint training requirements via single process. Validate proposed solutions to support JROC through JCIDS process. More effectively commit scarce resources

<u>Submitted By</u>: JS J7 JTD

<u>End state</u>: All joint training requirements are validated and prioritized through a single process.

<u>POA&M</u>: Establish JT FCB as forum to validate Joint Training Requirements**.**

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS J-7/OSD(P&R)/JFCOM

DRAFT

UJTL

<u>Issue 06JS-02</u>: UJTL 5.0 requires task clean-up. UJTL 6.0 requires alignment with JCAs, Baseline Conditions and Standards, and an automated staffing tool.

<u>Discussion</u>:.

- Currently within UJTL 5.0:
  - 51 duplicate level of war (LOW) tasks
  - 19 with Multiple Tasks
  - 78 with Multiple Verbs
  - 26 that are Service Tasks (don't meet requirements to be a joint task)
- Reducing number of duplicate UJTL Tasks significantly reduces workload of mapping. Task SME/SML/SMOs must be designated for electronic staffing to work.

<u>Impact</u>: JCAs may require development of new UJTL Taxonomy. May also impact Service development and maintenance.

<u>Submitted By</u>: JS J7 JTD

<u>End state</u>: Change recommendation for UJTL clean-up submitted and implemented; UJTL 6.0 published with JCA taxonomy and lexicon integrated; and mapping methodology developed and implemented to map UJTL to JCAs.

<u>POA&M</u>: Review and submit list of UJTL Tasks to be amended for review. JS J-7 continue to work with J-8 on JCA development. UWP 25-27 will meet to resolve issues.

<u>OPR/OCR</u>: JS J-7/JTD

DRAFT

Joint Lessons Learned Information System (JLLIS)

Issue 06JS-03: Lack of Joint Lessons Learned Information System (JLLIS)

Discussion:

- DoD Lessons Learned Community lacks central repository of lessons; some DoD organizations without adequate input support tools.
- Methods to address these gaps:
  - Pilot program (Proof of Principle) within JFCOM's JCOA:
    - Utilizing existing COTS technology
    - Successfully integrated files of 6 organizations with document control and search capabilities
  - Research existing DoD input support tools:
    - Choices narrowed from 6 to 2 (Air Force Lessons Mgmt System (ALMS) and USMC Lessons Management System (LMS)
    - Community of practice provided capabilities briefs and live demos on 27 Mar 06

Impact: Joint community lacks a single automated support system to discover and apply joint lessons.

Submitted By: JS J7 JTD

End state: Enterprise, web based, GIG compliant JLLIS provided at no cost to the customer base

POA&M: Develop and implement interoperable KM/IT tools.

OPR/OCR: JS/J7

DRAFT